Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/728,356	PANEC ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ramsey Refai	2152
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Ramsey Refai</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mary Olynick.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 April 2007	Time: <u>05:00 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
·		
BASS		
(Examiner SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)

Application No. 10/728,356

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner telephoned the Applicant to clarify whether the remarks filed on 02/12/07 were applicable to the current application since the caption recited a different application number. The Applicant informed the Examiner that the incorrect citation was inadvertently used and that the remarks were the correct remarks for this application.